

THE EVOLUTION OF CANADIAN SETTLEMENT PROGRAMMING FROM THE MASS RESETTLEMENT OF INDOCHINESE (SOUTHEAST ASIAN) REFUGEES TO THE PRESENT

Lisa McLean and Stephanie P. Stobbe

The 1976 Immigration Act was the first act to establish the fundamental objectives of Canadian immigration policy, define refugees as a distinct class of immigrants, and require the government to meet its obligations to refugees under international conventions and agreements. In 1979, the Mennonite Central Committee Canada (MCCC), an Anabaptist non-profit organization, signed the first umbrella agreement with the federal government to provide private sponsorship of refugees, which led to the development of Canada's Private Sponsorship of Refugees (PSR) program. The Vietnam War, the Lao Secret War, and the Cambodian Genocide had created massive flows of refugees. The Southeast Asian (Indochinese) refugee movement from 1975 to the early 1990s became a catalyst for the development of various settlement programs to help refugees integrate in Canada. This article examines the evolution of different settlement programming, including ethnic associations, along with their contributions and challenges in the settlement and integration of refugees in Canada.

INTRODUCTION

Between 1979 and 1980, 60,000 refugees from Vietnam, Laos, and Cambodia were resettled to Canada in the single largest mass movement of refugees in Canada's history. This event was unprecedented not only for its scale, but it also marked Canada's first experience with the newly minted Private Sponsorship of Refugees (PSR) program and contributed to the expansion of Canada's immigrant Settlement Program. Indochinese (Southeast Asian) refugees faced a number of significant barriers to settlement and adaptation in Canada, but were also assisted by the provision of settlement services and funding for ethnocultural organizations through the multiculturalism directorate. Drawing from the lessons learned from the Indochinese refugee movement, this article explores the evolution of settlement programming as well as the role of ethnic organizations in the promotion of immigrant settlement and integration in Canada.

While Indochinese ethnic organizations are considered to have played a vital role in supporting and advocating for their communities, the funding for these organizations has dwindled in recent years due to competition with larger multi-ethnic service provider agencies and the prioritization of short-term settlement objectives over longer-term concerns about the social and political integration of immigrant communities. This article argues that the settlement and integration of newcomers should be approached holistically, with appreciation for the need for culturally appropriate programming available at various stages of the settlement process. Settlement and integration take place over the lifespan of newcomers, and a continuum of support is necessary in order to overcome the various challenges that newcomers encounter in the months and years following their arrival. Furthermore, the Canadian government must attend to existing structural barriers to integration and work to create welcoming communities that support the full social, political, and economic integration of immigrants and refugees.¹

SETTLEMENT SERVICE DELIVERY IN CANADA

When immigrants and refugees arrive in a new country, they go through a process of adaptation and settlement as they adjust to their new home. The stages of settlement include: (1) adjustment to the culture, language, people, and environment; (2) adaptation to their new community by learning how to navigate challenges as newcomers begin to establish their new

lives and routines; and (3) the final stage of integration, where newcomers actively participate in and contribute to the host society without barriers.² This process, aided by government-funded support and services, is intended to benefit both newcomers and the broader host society.³ The obligation of the Government of Canada to actively support the integration of permanent residents in Canada is outlined in the Immigration and Refugee Protection Act (IRPA), which acknowledges that this process involves mutual obligations on the part of both newcomers and the broader Canadian society.⁴ While the Department of Immigration, Refugees, and Citizenship Canada (IRCC) indicates that settlement “refers to a short period of mutual adaptation between newcomers and the host society,”⁵ scholars have argued that settlement is a non-linear process that takes place over the lifetime of newcomers and may extend into subsequent generations.⁶

The federal Government of Canada provides funding for an array of programs to assist newcomers with their initial needs following arrival as well as to attain longer-term objectives such as economic and social integration. Just as the Indochinese refugee crisis began to take shape in the 1970s, Canada began a process of expanding its immigration and settlement programs that had been cut back during the previous decade.⁷ In 1974, the program that later became known as the Immigrant Settlement and Adaptation Program (ISAP) was created under the department of Manpower and Immigration in order to provide immigrants with “financial assistance for settling in, job counselling, placement, language and occupational training, information and referral services, social counselling, centers for community contact, and general forums for immigrants’ concerns.”⁸ Since its inception, the Settlement Program has been contingent upon the leadership of local voluntary organizations for the delivery of services to newcomer populations. The key role of the voluntary sector was reflected in Cabinet decisions that led to the creation of the program as well as the government’s interest in developing ethnic and community organizations to work for the “integration and involvement of the immigrant community in the Canadian society.”⁹ As will be discussed later in this article, ethnic organizations were considered to be crucial resources for settlement and adaptation of Vietnamese, Laotian (Lao), and Cambodian refugees in Canada.

Between 1974 and 1984, a period which included the resettlement of 60,000 Indochinese refugees between 1979 and 1980 and the subsequent arrival of thousands more through refugee resettlement and family reunification

programs, the government increased funding for settlement programming by over \$2.3 million and the number of agencies providing services increased from fifty-one to 136. In the early years of the program, most contracts were granted to agencies in Montréal, Vancouver, and Toronto, leaving significant gaps in services in other major centers and rural areas. The mass resettlement of Indochinese refugees led to a significant increase in demand for services and a subsequent boom in new immigrant service provider organizations across Canada.¹⁰ Many existing settlement service providers trace their roots to this period.

In the 1980s, settlement programming expanded to support basic language training (operating in addition to existing labour-market-oriented language training) and the Host Program, which was intended to connect refugees with Canadian volunteers who would assist with the refugees' adaptation in Canada. In the 1990s, the eligibility for the language programs and the Host program was expanded to include permanent residents belonging to other immigrant categories besides refugees.¹¹ Currently, settlement services are available in urban and rural centers across Canada for Convention refugees, permanent residents of other immigrant categories, protected persons, and some temporary residents. According to the IRCC,¹² resettled refugees and protected persons are more likely than any other immigrant category to access settlement services (72 percent of refugees and protected persons access federally funded settlement services as opposed to an overall average of 39 percent among other immigrant categories). It is reasonable to assert that other immigrant groups have other resources and skills to help them in settlement.

Since 2014, the federal government has assumed responsibility for the funding of settlement services in all provinces and territories with the exception of Quebec through multi-year contribution agreements with local service provider organizations (SPOs).¹³ Under the 'modernized' federal Settlement Program, settlement services now focus on six key areas: (1) needs assessments and referrals; (2) information and orientation services; (3) language assessment; (4) language training; (5) employment-related services; and, (6) community connections. Additional services are also provided to facilitate newcomers' access to settlement programming, such as childcare, interpretation, and crisis counselling, though significant funding limitations are placed on these latter services as they are intended to only facilitate newcomers' access to the settlement programming offered through the six

streams.¹⁴ Currently, the majority of federally funded settlement services are provided by non-governmental organizations and educational institutions, while only 7 percent of IRCC-funded SPOs identify as community, ethnic, fraternal, or other civil society organizations.¹⁵ According to the IRCC,¹⁶ the “public-private partnership model” used by Canada allows the government to maintain consistency in programming across the country, while local non-profit service providers are seen as more adept at responding to the particular needs of their communities and may draw upon existing community resources to meet these needs.

SHORT- AND LONG-TERM SETTLEMENT OBJECTIVES

As indicated in the discussion above, there are divergent ideas as to the length of the process of “settlement” experienced by immigrants and refugees. The federal immigration department defines settlement as a “short period” occurring within the first several years following arrival,¹⁷ whereas some scholars and immigration policy advocates argue that settlement is a long-term process that takes place over the course of a newcomer’s life and is possibly even inter-generational.¹⁸ These differences can be attributed, in part, to funding priorities oriented to meeting the short-term needs of newcomers and the Canadian labour market, with less emphasis provided to the long-term goals of the social, economic, and political integration of newcomer populations. Various scholars have argued that newcomers are pressured to quickly gain employment, while at the same time being confronted by structural barriers to their full participation in the labour market.¹⁹ This discussion will explore how these pressures and barriers related to employment may undermine the long-term settlement goals and prospects of newcomers.²⁰ A holistic approach oriented to long-term outcomes will address barriers to economic integration as well as the social and political integration of newcomers. As will be discussed in the subsequent section, ethnic organizations can play a key role in meeting both immediate and longer-term settlement objectives.

Canada’s broader strategy of economic development involves utilizing immigration to respond to issues such as labour shortages and an aging population.²¹ Despite Canada’s interest in encouraging immigration for economic purposes, many newcomers face significant barriers to finding adequate employment or employment commensurate with their education and experience.²² Foreign credential recognition is among the long-standing

issues that have contributed to challenges faced by newcomers in terms of labour market integration and settlement in Canada.²³ Robert Vineberg attributes this problem to “multiple jurisdictions, outdated attitudes towards foreign credentials, and lack of information—both among Canadians about the strengths and weaknesses of foreign academic institutions and among prospective immigrants about their realistic chances of having credentials accepted in Canada.”²⁴ Problems related to the recognition of newcomers’ credentials have been discussed by the federal government since at least the 1960s when the issue was raised in a Cabinet decision on immigration.²⁵ These problems continue to present a significant barrier to integration, worsening newcomers’ exposure to economic insecurity and contributing to stress, a sense of unrealized expectations, and loss of status.²⁶

While credential recognition presents a significant structural barrier to the economic integration of newcomers, other studies have indicated that the pressure placed on immigrants to quickly become self-sufficient and avoid dependency on the State during the early stages of settlement is likewise extremely problematic. The pressures to quickly gain employment can come at the expense of much-needed skills and job training, which “risks undermining broader social integration and may create and perpetuate vulnerability for new Canadians.”²⁷ Scholars argue that the emphasis on newcomers’ rapid entry into the labour market combined with the existence of structural barriers to full economic participation, including racial and gender discrimination and lack of access to credential recognition and training opportunities, contributes to the concentration of poverty among newcomers and growing issues of social and economic exclusion.²⁸ The pressures for newcomers to be “labour market/economy ready” are focused primarily on persons arriving through economic and family class immigration streams;²⁹ however, refugees also face pressures to quickly enter the labour market and reduce their dependence on the State and private sponsors. The experiences of Indochinese refugees are particularly illustrative of these intertwined issues.

Indochinese refugees who arrived in Canada in the late 1970s and early 1980s faced numerous challenges to integration. Among these challenges were a lack of fluency in English or French and the economic recession in Canada that began in the 1980s that further limited the economic opportunities available for these newly arrived refugees.³⁰ While the Indochinese refugees of this period were frequently described as having very low levels of formal education and professional experience, there were also many refugees

who had secondary or post-secondary education and had professional work experience.³¹ Despite facing barriers to employment, reports later revealed that by the mid-1980s Vietnamese and Laotian refugees were participating in the labour market at a rate greater than the Canadian national average, with many finding employment within the first six months after arrival.³² While this may be considered evidence of their rapid integration into Canadian society, reports also indicated that Indochinese refugees, like other newcomers, were largely concentrated in low-wage occupations involving manual labour, earning less than the national average despite their greater participation in the labour market.³³

Pressure for Indochinese refugees to quickly become independent (and therefore, not dependent on the government) led immigration officials to prioritize language training for male heads of household, who were deemed to be most likely to enter the labour market, further deepening the precarity and social isolation faced by newcomer women.³⁴ While men may have initially been encouraged to attend language classes, the pressure to become economically independent led many to leave classes once work became available despite the long-term benefits of gaining proficiency in one of the official languages.³⁵ Stobbe's³⁶ research found that women were more likely to stick with language classes while they were in the workforce. Other needs, including counselling, mental health support, and cultural and social adaptation and inclusion, were likewise considered to be of lower priority than short-term economic concerns.³⁷

The unemployment and underemployment of newcomers during the economic downturn of the 1980s and 1990s led to a higher incidence of poverty among newcomers during this period in comparison to previous cohorts of immigrants, and contributed to a growing sense of social exclusion.³⁸ In a study conducted approximately twenty-five years after their initial arrival in Canada, Laotian refugees indicated that training and preparation for the long-term success needs to be prioritized over rapid employment.³⁹ Of those surveyed, 90 percent discussed the importance of allowing refugees to focus on English language acquisition prior to joining the workforce and the need for various governmental supports for refugees entering the labour market including credential recognition, re-training, and job matching.⁴⁰

Refugees should be provided with the support to become employable not only for short-term 'survival' jobs, but for their long-term success and

integration.⁴¹ These programs are required to not only support the employability of newcomers in their area of expertise, but also to mitigate the mental health effects of loss of status experienced by highly trained newcomers who experience disillusionment due to the lack of opportunities commensurate with their training and the additional strain of economic insecurity and unemployment.⁴² Studies found that Indochinese refugees with previous professional employment and higher education experienced a heightened sense of loss of status than those with less education and work experience, leading these highly trained newcomers to feel more discouraged about job prospects and more pessimistic about the future.⁴³ These problems do not necessarily present themselves within the first several years following arrival, but may affect the long-term settlement and integration of newcomer populations.

While settlement is frequently described as a continuum, newcomers do not necessarily experience a linear progression from initial adjustment to integration as they encounter barriers and challenges such as racism, employment problems, mental health issues, and unrealized expectations in the years following arrival.⁴⁴ Poverty, unmet expectations, and discrimination all present challenges to successful settlement and integration at the individual level and risk contributing to a sense of exclusion and the reproduction of serious social problems such as the concentration of poverty among newcomers.⁴⁵ Due to this, a continuum of support is needed not only from the federal immigration department, but also from all departments and levels of government as well as civil society in order to respond to the initial settlement needs of newcomers as well as the longer-term objectives of economic, social, and political integration.⁴⁶ To attend to these longer-term objectives, settlement and integration need to be re-imagined from the current “one-way street” focus on immigrants’ successful integration that is often narrowly defined as whether they are employed and therefore not reliant on public support to the “two-way street” or mutual adaptation required by both immigrants and the host society.⁴⁷ This entails responding to the structural barriers to employment experienced by newcomers as well as efforts to create welcoming communities and develop a sense of belonging socially, politically, and culturally among immigrant populations.⁴⁸ The following section will consider the role played by ethnic organizations in responding to the short- and long-term settlement needs and integration objectives of newcomer populations.

Laotian and Cambodian organizations to act as cultural brokers between their communities and the provincial and federal governments in order to access funds and connect newcomers with community resources.⁵⁶ After the initial federal funding for these organizations began to dry up in the mid-1980s, competition between the smaller Laotian and Cambodian organizations and the larger Vietnamese groups became more acute and some organizations went through a period of decline, eventually limiting the services that they provided or closing altogether.⁵⁷

While these ethnic organizations were considered to have significantly contributed to Indochinese refugees' settlement in Canada, federal funding for settlement is now concentrated among larger multi-ethnic or non-ethnic nongovernmental organizations. Ratna Omidvar and Ted Richmond⁵⁸ found that funding cutbacks, imposed restructuring, and competition with larger agencies led to many small community-based settlement service providers, particularly those catering to a particular ethnic community, to close their doors or otherwise cut back on their programming. This finding was echoed by the report of the Standing Committee on Citizenship and Immigration on best practices in settlement service delivery. Witnesses to the committee indicated that "ethno-specific services and ethno-specific agencies play a critical role in settlement," as it is important "to be able to access services from people who fundamentally understand your values, who look like you, in many instances, and who can speak the language of the service you're demanding."⁵⁹ Despite this, witnesses testified to the committee that smaller organizations have difficulty accessing settlement funding due to competition with large agencies and their lack of institutional capacity to complete the rigorous evaluations required for receiving federal grants and contributions.⁶⁰ Today, the majority of federally funded settlement services across Canada are provided by non-governmental organizations, non-profits, and educational institutions, with only a small percentage (7 percent) of services provided by ethnic or other community organizations.⁶¹

While the trend in federal funding for settlement services has been moving away from funding ethno-specific organizations, a variety of scholars have argued that these organizations provide specific benefits to newcomer communities. In her analysis of the community organizing of Indochinese refugees in Canada from 1977 to 1982, Doreen Marie Indra argues that ethnic organizations "could provide an effective, culturally appropriate vehicle for *short-term* programing aimed at immigrant settlement and

adaptation, complementing the contribution of voluntary organizations” and that “the longer term processes of social, cultural, and political integration of immigrants into Canadian life might be powerfully augmented by giving community organizations more financial support and greater public recognition.”⁶² Ethnic organizations can better define the needs and interests of their own community, potentially avoiding some of the challenges that Laotian and Cambodian refugees faced due to the lack of awareness among sponsors and government officials of their distinct cultures, languages, and histories in the initial period following their arrival.⁶³ These organizations also provide translation services that enables communication between refugees and sponsors, and in some situations even mediate conflicts in ways that create more satisfying resolutions.⁶⁴ Ethno-specific service providers can also assist in connecting newcomers with employment opportunities within their community networks, providing culturally appropriate responses to trauma and the stress of resettlement, and they may be better placed to reach out to vulnerable members of the community, such as women, children, and the elderly.⁶⁵

These organizations, along with religious institutions, may also assist newcomers in the process of settlement and adaptation by contributing to the strengthening, maintenance, and transmission of ethnic identity. Cultural and religious celebrations offer an opportunity to bring newcomers together, reduce alienation and isolation among refugee populations struggling with trauma, and provide a sense of continuity, community, and identity to newcomers as they establish themselves in the host society.⁶⁶ These celebrations also provide opportunities for the broader Canadian public to learn about and interact with newcomer populations, contributing to the social integration of newcomers and reflecting the “two-way” vision of settlement and integration, which implies changing the cultural fabric of Canada rather than pursuing an assimilationist approach.

In order to effectively support newcomers in both the short- and long-term, ethnic organizations require sufficient and reliable funding and institutional support. By strengthening their institutional capacity, these organizations may build sufficient political legitimacy and power to effectively advocate for their communities, thus contributing to their political and social integration.⁶⁷ Ethnic organizations can play an important role in advocating for migrants’ rights and the expansion of settlement and integration programming, particularly in a time of increased anti-immigrant discourse

and ongoing funding cutbacks to settlement programs. Government funders, however, are often reluctant to provide economic support for such activities.⁶⁸ John Shields, Julie Drolet, and Karla Valenzuela⁶⁹ explain that strategies for overcoming these funding challenges may include fostering partnerships and alliances with other stakeholders, including national and international rights groups, like-minded public officials, academics and academic institutions, and other non-profit organizations. Partnerships between ethnic organizations and larger service provider organizations may also be useful for overcoming the institutional limitations of many small ethnic organizations as well as issues related to accessing government funding, provided that these partnerships are equitable and ethnic organizations are not treated as a “junior partner.”⁷⁰

To adequately support the full economic, social, and political integration of immigrant and refugee communities in Canada, settlement must be understood from a holistic perspective that accounts for both immediate and long-term needs. This perspective must also consider the changes that need to be made on the part of the Canadian government and Canadian society to reduce barriers to newcomers’ full participation in each of these areas. Ethnic organizations can play an important role in responding to the short-term needs of newcomers in the period following arrival, as well as longer-term goals, provided that they receive sufficient economic and institutional support. The following section will discuss the emergence and evolution of Indochinese ethnic organizations in three cities across Canada. This discussion provides insight into some of the challenges faced by Indochinese ethnic organizations in maintaining their presence over time, as well as the approaches that some organizations have made in order to continue providing services and support to their communities.

ETHNIC ORGANIZATIONS AND SETTLEMENT CASE STUDIES

The following section will discuss the emergence of ethnic organizations among the Vietnamese, Laotian, and Cambodian communities in Toronto, Winnipeg, and Vancouver in the years following the mass resettlement of 60,000 Indochinese refugees to Canada between 1979 and 1980. During this period, a large proportion of Indochinese refugees were designated to settle in the major urban centers of Toronto and Vancouver. The Indochinese

communities in these cities continued to grow throughout the 1980s as refugees designated to settle in other areas secondarily migrated in order to be near the larger ethnic communities in these cities and to take advantage of increased economic opportunities that were present there. Though Winnipeg is a comparatively smaller city, it shares a long history of involvement in refugee resettlement, particularly as it relates to the private sponsorship of refugees. Due to the active involvement of a number of churches and community groups in the Private Sponsorship of Refugees program, several thousand refugees from Vietnam, Laos, and Cambodia were designated to settle in Manitoba during this time.

The following discussion focuses on ethnic organizations developed by the Vietnamese, Laotian, and Cambodian communities; however, this discussion does not fully account for the experiences of ethnically Chinese refugees from the region and their efforts to build their own community organizations or become incorporated in existing Chinese ethnic organizations in Canada. A significant percentage of the refugees from Vietnam, Laos, and Cambodia were ethnically Chinese, with their own distinct cultures, histories, and needs. Dorais⁷¹ estimated that up to 40 percent of Vietnamese refugees, 25 percent of Cambodians and 20 percent of Laotian refugees that arrived in Canada during this period were ethnically Chinese. Some attempts have been made to research the particular experiences of this ethnic group; however, the experiences of ethnically Chinese refugees from this period remains underexplored. In terms of participation in ethnic organizations, the literature appears to show a tendency among ethnic Chinese communities to participate in both existing Chinese ethnic organizations as well as organizations corresponding to their national origin (such as Vietnamese community organizations).⁷² Dorais⁷³ notes that Chinese communities across Canada also created their own organizations; however there is little information available about these organizations.

The information presented here about Indochinese ethnic organizations and settlement service providers is drawn mainly from the organizations' websites as well as published reports and academic studies. While the size, scale, and focus of these ethnic organizations vary, this discussion provides insight into the evolution of some ethnic organizations that arose during the 1980s in response to the Indochinese refugee movement into formalized settlement service provider organizations geared toward newcomers from diverse ethnic backgrounds. In these examples, the shift to providing services to a broader

community of immigrants and refugees likely occurred in response to federal funding requirements and the changing demographics of immigrant and refugee arrivals in Canada. While some Vietnamese and multi-ethnic organizations continue to provide settlement services, other ethnocultural organizations, particularly among the Laotian and Cambodian communities, have since disappeared. Another theme that can be observed from a review of the activities of Indochinese ethnic organizations in these cities is their emphasis on the preservation and transmission of ethnic identity through cultural celebrations and community events. Ethnic organizations may provide a bridge between newcomers and mainstream services, assisting newcomers with settlement and adaptation in a new country.⁷⁴ At the same time, they may also provide an opportunity for newcomers to celebrate and strengthen their cultural traditions and practices as a resource for adaptation in the Canadian context.

Toronto

Prior to the arrival of the 60,000 Indochinese refugees in Canada in 1979–80, Toronto hosted a small population of Vietnamese professionals and students. Large numbers of Vietnamese refugees began arriving in the city in 1979, with the population continuing to increase through the 1980s due to family reunification initiatives and secondary migration from other parts of Canada.⁷⁵ Between 1979 and 1980, approximately 6,385 Indochinese refugees arrived in the Toronto/Mississauga area, making Toronto the second largest destination for Indochinese refugees in Canada after Montréal.⁷⁶ (Since the refugees were part of the former French Indochina, some spoke French and were more familiar with the French communities.) As the province with the most sponsoring groups (3,203), Ontario received 40 percent of all privately sponsored Indochinese refugees in Canada between 1979 and 1980, totalling 17,084.⁷⁷ The 2016 census found that 81,175 people in Toronto identified as having ethnic origins from the region with 5,945 identifying as Cambodian (Khmer), fifty as Hmong (an ethnic minority group from Laos), 3,805 as Laotian, and 71,425 as Vietnamese.⁷⁸ These numbers demonstrate the exponential growth of the Vietnamese community in Toronto over the past forty years.

The Vietnamese Association in Toronto was established in 1972 by a group of Vietnamese students and became the largest and most professionalized of

the Indochinese ethnic organizations in the city.⁷⁹ Initially, the Vietnamese, Laotian, and Cambodian Associations had offices in a Government of Ontario office building in downtown Toronto until the Vietnamese Association purchased its own building in 1986.⁸⁰ In their early years, these organizations provided settlement, orientation, and information services for members of their community as well as programs for the celebration and preservation of their cultural heritage. The size of the Vietnamese community in Toronto is reflected in the large number of Vietnamese cultural associations, groups, and service providers that cater to this community.

The Vietnamese Association, Toronto (VAT) and the Lao (Laotian) Association of Toronto maintain a significant presence in the city and continue to provide settlement services, support, and activities celebrating their cultural heritage. The VAT provides government-funded settlement services, including information and orientation, employment assistance, and citizenship test preparation. These services are provided in English, Vietnamese, Mandarin, Cantonese, Tagalog, Tibetan, and Hindi, indicating that the organization has expanded its services beyond the community of Vietnamese newcomers to immigrants and refugees from a variety of Asian countries. In the fiscal year 2018–19, VAT staff served 8,361 client visits.⁸¹ In addition to their delivery of formal settlement services, the VAT also organizes community events and celebrations of culturally significant holidays for the “promotion and preservation of the Vietnamese heritage and culture, and for the enrichment of multiculturalism in Canada.”⁸² These celebrations include the Viet Summer Festival, the annual Mid-Autumn Festival, Christmas celebrations, and the Lunar New Year (Tet) Festival, which the VAT Annual Report explains attracted large numbers from the community and hosted speeches from the Immigration Minister, Ahmed Hussein, and the Conservative Party Leader, Andrew Scheer.⁸³ The presence of federal party leaders and cabinet ministers at the celebration provides a symbolic demonstration of the association’s—and by extension, the Vietnamese community’s—political legitimacy and strength. Connections with public servants and federal politicians provides an opportunity for the associations’ leaders to advocate for the needs of the Vietnamese community. Thanh Hai Ngo, who served as Chairperson of the Employment Insurance Board of Refugees, became the first Canadian Senator of Vietnamese descent in 2012 and continues to champion Vietnamese interests.⁸⁴

Like the VAT, the Vietnamese Women’s Association of Toronto (VWAT)

was founded in 1982 following the mass exodus of Indochinese refugees and provides settlement support to newcomers. In addition to providing mainstream settlement programming, VWAT Family Services brings awareness to issues of domestic violence and healthy living, and in recent years began expanding their delivery of services beyond the Vietnamese community to newcomers from other ethnic backgrounds and nationalities.⁸⁵ This organization provides programming for youth and seniors, and participates in the organization of the Autumn Festival and other cultural activities. In their annual report, VWAT Family Services explains that these programs and events help their clients “connect to the community of their own culture and heritage, increase the sense of belonging and help reduce the loneliness in Canada.”⁸⁶

The online presence of Laotian and Cambodian ethnic organizations in Toronto is much lighter than that of Vietnamese organizations, owing to the fact that these communities share just a fraction of the total population of the Vietnamese community in the city. Unlike the Vietnamese, these groups also lacked a large existing community of students and professionals in Canada in the pre-1979 period who could establish community organizations and assist with the initial settlement needs of Cambodian and Laotian refugees during this early period.⁸⁷

The Lao Association of Ontario (LAO) was incorporated in 1980 and initially provided settlement services, language programs, youth programs, and cultural heritage events.⁸⁸ The LAO website indicates that the organization continues to provide social and family counselling and other assistance as well as cultural programming, including a Lao dance and cultural program, an arts and crafts program, and a summer festival, though information on these activities has not been updated for several years. Cultural celebrations, including the celebration of the Lao New Year, have also been organized by the Lao Buddhist Temple, providing an opportunity for the community to gather and strengthen their cultural and ethnic identities while sharing these practices with the broader Canadian public.

While there is a sizeable Cambodian community in Toronto, numbering just under 6,000 people in the 2016 Census,⁸⁹ there is little up-to-date information about Cambodian community organizations in the city. The Canadian Cambodian Association provided similar services as the Vietnamese and Laotian associations during the 1980s; however, there is no information

online indicating that the group is still active. Janet McLellan⁹⁰ suggests that due to their traumatic experiences under the Khmer Rouge regime, Cambodian refugees may share a sense of distrust and suspicion towards those in positions of authority, thus weakening the community networks and leadership required to establish and maintain community organizations. Despite these challenges, the Cambodian community in Toronto established a Khmer Buddhist Temple.

While Cambodian and Laotian Buddhists both practice Theravada Buddhism, the religious practices of these communities are closely tied to their ethnic and national identities and are therefore not equivalent nor interchangeable.⁹¹ Religious practice can serve as a resource not only for the transmission of religious values, but as a mechanism to express ethnic identity and build communal networks of self-help and support.⁹² The maintenance and transmission of ethnic identity through worship and religious practice can be an invaluable resource for adaptation following the intense disruption and upheaval caused by resettlement to an unfamiliar country.⁹³ Religious practice has also been identified as an important resource for preventing mental health problems among refugees who have experienced trauma, loss, and violence, and who may be uncomfortable with speaking about sensitive personal problems with counsellors or therapists.⁹⁴ Religious practice and the leadership of trusted religious leaders can help a community heal in a culturally appropriate manner following violence and conflict.

Winnipeg

The first Indochinese refugees began to arrive in Manitoba in 1979 and from 1979 to 1985, over 7,000 refugees from Vietnam, Laos, and Cambodia were designated to settle in the province, with an initial 4,022 arriving between 1979 and 1980.⁹⁵ Due to the participation of civil society groups in the PSR program, refugees were not only concentrated in large urban centers, but were spread throughout the country according to the location of the sponsoring groups. In an early report, the Mennonite Central Committee (MCC) estimated that they had sponsored approximately 4,000 Indochinese refugees between 1979 and 1980, and of this number, 1,094 arrived in Manitoba, far outpacing MCC's sponsorship of refugees in other provinces.⁹⁶ The total population of persons identifying as having ethnic origins from these three countries has changed little over the decades. According

to the 2016 Census, 7,685 people in Winnipeg identified as having ethnic origins from Cambodia, Laos, and Vietnam. The distribution of these ethnic groups in Winnipeg is approximately 500 Cambodian (Khmer), 1,605 Laotian, and 5,580 Vietnamese.⁹⁷

Refugees from these three countries formed ethnic organizations in Winnipeg within the first several years of arriving in Canada for the purposes of preserving their cultural heritage and languages, and for providing community support for their members and settlement assistance for newcomers.⁹⁸ Online searches show that there is a Lao Association of Manitoba, but there is no information on the services they provide. There is also a Buddhist temple, Wat Lao Xayaram of Manitoba, but again, no details about the establishment. Currently, few Indochinese ethnic organizations remain active in Winnipeg. One notable exception is the Southeast Asian Refugee Community of Manitoba (SEARCOM) that was established in 1984 by two social workers of Southeast Asian descent.⁹⁹ In its early years, SEARCOM was the only group in Manitoba that explicitly encouraged cooperation among the Southeast Asian ethnic groups in the province and provided recreation programs for youth and parenting courses as well as cross-cultural exchange programs between newcomer and Indigenous communities.¹⁰⁰ SEARCOM expanded into formalized settlement service delivery and later built an apartment complex intended to respond to the lack of transitional housing for newly arrived refugees in Winnipeg.¹⁰¹ The organization changed its name to the Immigrant and Refugee Community Organization of Manitoba (IRCOM) in 1991 and now provides three-year transitional housing to low-income immigrants, refugees, and refugee claimants, regardless of their country of origin.¹⁰² The majority of government-funded settlement services in Winnipeg are not provided by ethno-specific organizations, but like IRCOM, provide services to newcomers from a variety of backgrounds and frequently employ newcomers and former refugees as settlement counsellors.

Vancouver

Between 1979 and 1980, Vancouver received approximately 3,496 refugees from Vietnam, Laos, and Cambodia,¹⁰³ though other sources estimate the number of arrivals during this period to Vancouver and the surrounding area to be closer to 5,000. Prior to the refugees' arrival, Vancouver had a sizeable Chinese population of more than 150,000; however, there was no

pre-existing community of Vietnamese, Laotians, or Cambodians, as was the case in Toronto.¹⁰⁴ In the 2016 Census, 38,135 people identified as having ethnic origins from the region with 2,465 identifying as Cambodian (Khmer), 115 as Hmong, 1,710 as Laotian, and 33,845 as Vietnamese.¹⁰⁵ These numbers do not provide an indication of the size of the ethnically Chinese community originating from these countries.

As there was no pre-existing immigrant community from these three countries in Vancouver, Indochinese refugees had to create their own community organizations in the years following their arrival or attempt to integrate into the existing Chinese community groups. As described by Yuen-Fong Woon, Helen Wong, and Donna Woo,¹⁰⁶ ethnically Chinese populations from Indochina face a particularly complex dynamic in terms of the maintenance and transmission of their cultural heritage and identity. On the one hand, this group benefitted from the presence of large Chinese communities in cities across Canada, including Vancouver, and therefore have access to various Chinese community organizations, media, and language schools. On the other hand, the authors found that ethnically Chinese refugees in Victoria faced difficulties in feeling accepted in mainstream Chinese community organizations as well as in specific Indochinese associations, such as Vietnamese ethnic organizations.¹⁰⁷ This group seemed to be caught between the two cultures that they are a part of due to their unique lived experiences and country of origin.

As the largest Indochinese community in Vancouver are the Vietnamese, there are a number of Vietnamese associations and community groups that continue to exist; however, it does not appear that these groups continue to provide formal settlement services for newcomers or refugees. Vietnamese ethnic organizations in Vancouver celebrate important holidays such as the Lunar New Year (Tet) and the Journey to Freedom Day, which commemorates the exodus of Vietnamese refugees after the fall of Saigon on 30 April 1975. This day has been officially recognized in Canada through an Act of Parliament in 2015. There are also youth-led groups that have emerged in recent years who participate in organizing annual events including the Vietnamese-Canadian Community Heritage Day (VietFest).

Despite having populations of over or close to 2,000 people in the Cambodian and Laotian communities in Vancouver, there is little information available online about the existence of Cambodian or Laotian ethnic

organizations or temples. According to James Placzek and Ian Baird,¹⁰⁸ the Lao Benevolent Society of British Columbia was registered in 1980 to provide services and support to newcomers; however, the activities of the organization diminished over time with the decline in new arrivals from Laos. There is no current information available about this organization online. Placzek and Baird¹⁰⁹ also describe the efforts by the Laotian community in British Columbia to establish a *Wat Lao* or Lao Buddhist temple. A former Lao community association president explained that his motivation to build the temple was “to show the next generation we are not alone,” while other temple congregants indicated that “being better Buddhists will make them better Canadians,” suggesting that the Laotian community in Vancouver likewise viewed religious practice as a resource for strengthening their ethnic community and adjusting to the Canadian context.¹¹⁰ In 1987, the Lao community in Vancouver invited a Lao Buddhist monk from Montreal to live in the city and by 2000, the community bought a house in Surrey that was to become their temple, which moved again to another property in 2005. While Placzek and Baird¹¹¹ expressed optimism for the future of the *Wat Lao*, there is not much information online about its programs, only that there is a Lao Canadian Buddhist Temple Society in Aldergrove, a community outside of Vancouver.

CONCLUSION

As illustrated by the preceding discussion, Indochinese ethnic communities in Canada quickly developed local organizations and associations to advocate on their behalf and assist newly arrived refugees navigate the settlement process in cities across the country. Some of these organizations have since ceased to exist, while others have transformed themselves into multi-ethnic settlement service providers for the broader immigrant and refugee community. Others have moved away from the provision of settlement services to focus specifically on the preservation, celebration, and transmission of their ethnic and religious identities and practices.

As indicated by Usha George,¹¹² settlement and integration are processes that may take years or even generations, particularly if you consider successful settlement to be measured by newcomers enjoying ‘barrier-free’ participation in the social, political, and cultural spheres in Canada.¹¹³ The tendency to envision settlement as measured by the rapid employment of

newcomers and their lack of reliance on the State for support, ignores other important features of integration, particularly in terms of the political and social integration of newcomer communities, as well as the structural barriers that exist for newcomers to fully participate in the labour market. A holistic and long-term vision of settlement is required to achieve the goals outlined by both scholars and the Canadian government for the full and barrier-free participation of newcomers in all facets of social life in Canada.

The settlement and integration needs of Indochinese refugees shifted and changed over time, and ethnic organizations provided and, in many cases, continue to provide settlement and integration support to their communities. These organizations also faced numerous challenges to their ongoing ability to provide services and advocate for their communities. The scholarly literature broadly supports the notion that ethnic organizations play a key role in the successful settlement of immigrants and refugees, yet these sources also indicate that ethnic organizations face difficulty in accessing funding for the provision of settlement services and integration-oriented programming.¹¹⁴ While ethnic organizations may be more responsive to the particular needs of their individual communities, some groups lack the institutional capacity to provide a broad array of services or compete for government funding with larger agencies.

Scholars and policy advocates recommend that the government encourage partnerships between small community-based ethnic organizations and larger non-profit agencies in order to respond to these challenges, though care must be taken to ensure that these partnerships are equitable.¹¹⁵ Other potential solutions include the creation of partnerships with other levels of government and non-governmental stakeholders in order to access funding and increase the political legitimacy and power of ethnic communities.¹¹⁶ Ethnic organizations require financial and institutional support to advocate for their communities and support the goals of long-term social, political, and economic integration of newcomers in Canada.

ENDNOTES

- 1 This background research is part of “The Canadian Southeast Asia Refugee Historical Research Project: Hearts of Freedom,” a project documenting the experiences of Indochinese refugees across Canada, funded by Canadian Heritage (Government of Canada), IRCC, DeFehr Foundation, and other partnerships, including Carleton University and Menno Simons College, a College of Canadian Mennonite University. The lead researchers are Peter Duschinsky, Michael Molloy, Colleen Lundy, Allan Moscovitch, and Stephanie Stobbe. Upcoming outcomes include a book, curriculum, film documentary, and traveling and digital museum exhibits.
- 2 John Shields, Julie Drolet, and Karla Valenzuela, “Immigrant Settlement and Integration Services and the Role of Nonprofit Service Providers: A Cross-National Perspective on Trends, Issues and Evidence,” RCIS Working Papers, no. N. 2016/1 (2016), 5.
- 3 Usha George, “A Needs-Based Model for Settlement Service Delivery for Newcomers to Canada,” *International Social Work* 45, no. 4 (2002); IRCC, “Evaluation of the Settlement Program” (Ottawa: Immigration, Refugee and Citizenship Canada, 2017); Shields, Drolet, and Valenzuela, “Immigrant Settlement and Integration Services.”
- 4 IRCC, “Supplementary Information 2019–2021 Immigration Levels Plan” (Ottawa: Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship Canada, 2018).
- 5 IRCC, “Supplementary Information 2019–2021,” 1.
- 6 George, “A Needs-Based Model for Settlement Service Delivery”; Ratna Omidvar and Ted Richmond, *Immigrant Settlement and Social Inclusion in Canada* (Ottawa: Health Canada, 2003).
- 7 Robert Vineberg, *Responding to Immigrants’ Settlement Needs: The Canadian Experience* (New York: Springer, 2012).
- 8 Cabinet decision, as cited in *ibid.*, 28.
- 9 *Ibid.*
- 10 *Ibid.* These numbers do not include expenditures on initial refugee resettlement assistance (then-known as the Adjustment Assistance Program (AAP)).

- 11 Ibid.
- 12 IRCC, “Supplementary Information 2019–2021.”
- 13 Ibid.
- 14 Ibid.
- 15 Ibid. In addition to the IRCC, other federal departments and levels of government, including provincial and municipal governments, also provide services to newcomers that are integral to their settlement and integration in Canada, including the provision of health care, social services, education and employment services (p. 4).
- 16 Ibid.
- 17 Ibid.
- 18 George, “A Needs-Based Model for Settlement Service Delivery”; Omidvar and Richmond, *Immigrant Settlement and Social Inclusion in Canada*; Shields, Drolet, and Valenzuela, “Immigrant Settlement and Integration Services.”
- 19 Jehad Aliweiwi and Rachel Laforest, “Citizenship, Immigration and the Conservative Agenda,” in *The New Federal Policy Agenda and the Voluntary Sector: On the Cutting Edge*, ed. Rachel Laforest (Montreal: McGill-Queen’s University Press, 2009); Omidvar and Richmond, *Immigrant Settlement and Social Inclusion in Canada*; Shields, Drolet, and Valenzuela, “Immigrant Settlement and Integration Services.”
- 20 Aliweiwi and Laforest, “Citizenship, Immigration and the Conservative Agenda.”
- 21 Ibid.
- 22 Shields, Drolet, and Valenzuela, “Immigrant Settlement and Integration Services”; IRCC, “Evaluation of the Settlement Program.”
- 23 Aliweiwi and Laforest, “Citizenship, Immigration and the Conservative Agenda.”; Omidvar and Richmond, *Immigrant Settlement and Social Inclusion in Canada*; Vineberg, *Responding to Immigrants’ Settlement Needs*.
- 24 Vineberg, *Responding to Immigrants’ Settlement Needs*, 55.
- 25 Ibid.

- 26 Aliweiwi and Laforest, "Citizenship, Immigration and the Conservative Agenda"; Omidvar and Richmond, *Immigrant Settlement and Social Inclusion in Canada*; Vineberg, *Responding to Immigrants' Settlement Needs*.
- 27 Aliweiwi and Laforest, "Citizenship, Immigration and the Conservative Agenda."
- 28 Aliweiwi and Laforest, "Citizenship, Immigration and the Conservative Agenda"; Omidvar and Richmond, *Immigrant Settlement and Social Inclusion in Canada*.
- 29 Shields, Drolet, and Valenzuela, "Immigrant Settlement and Integration Services."
- 30 Louis-Jacques Dorais, *The Cambodians, Laotians and Vietnamese in Canada*, ed. Roberto Perin, Canada's Ethnic Group Series (Toronto: Canadian Historical Association, 2000).
- 31 Employment and Immigration Canada, "Indochinese Refugees: The Canadian Response, 1979–1980" (Ottawa: Employment and Immigration Canada, 1982); Gertrud Neuwirth et al., *Southeast Asian Refugee Study: A Report on the Three-Year Study of the Social and Economic Adaptation of the Southeast Asian Refugees to Life in Canada, 1981–1983* (Ottawa: Employment and Immigration Canada, 1985).
- 32 Neuwirth et al., *Southeast Asian Refugee Study*; Dorais, *The Cambodians, Laotians and Vietnamese in Canada*.
- 33 Kwok B. Chan, "Unemployment, Social Support and Coping: The Psychosocial Response of Indochinese Refugees to Economic Marginality," in *Uprooting, Loss and Adaptation: The Resettlement of Indochinese Refugees in Canada*, ed. Kwok B. Chan and Doreen M. Indra (Ottawa: Canadian Public Health Association, 1987); Nancy Higgitt Copeland, "The Southeast-Asian Community in Winnipeg," in *Ten Years Later: Indochinese Communities in Canada*, ed. Louis-Jacques Dorais, Kwok B. Chan, and Doreen Indra (Montreal: Canadian Asian Studies Association, 1988); Dorais, *The Cambodians, Laotians and Vietnamese in Canada*; Stephanie Phetsamay Stobbe, "Cross-Cultural Experiences of Laotian Refugees and Mennonite Sponsors in British Columbia and Manitoba," *Journal of Mennonite Studies* 24 (2006): 111–28.

- 34 Doreen M. Indra, "Bureaucratic Constraints, Middlemen and Community Organization: Aspects of the Political Incorporation of Southeast Asians in Canada," in *Uprooting, Loss and Adaptation*, ed. Chan and Indra
- .35 Indra, "Bureaucratic Constraints, Middlemen and Community Organization"; Neuwirth et al., *Southeast Asian Refugee Study*.
- 36 Stobbe, "Cross-Cultural Experiences of Laotian Refugees and Mennonite Sponsors."
- 37 Indra, "Bureaucratic Constraints, Middlemen and Community Organization."
- 38 Omidvar and Richmond, *Immigrant Settlement and Social Inclusion in Canada*.
- 39 Stobbe, "Cross-Cultural Experiences of Laotian Refugees and Mennonite Sponsors."
- 40 Ibid.
- 41 Morton Beiser, *Strangers at the Gate: The "Boat People's" First Ten Years in Canada* (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1999); Stobbe, "Cross-Cultural Experiences of Laotian Refugees and Mennonite Sponsors."
- 42 Beiser, *Strangers at the Gate*; Neuwirth et al., *Southeast Asian Refugee Study*; Vineberg, *Responding to Immigrants' Settlement Needs*.
- 43 Louis-Jacques Dorais, "Refugee Adaptation and Community Structure: The Indochinese in Quebec City, Canada," *International Migration Review* 25, no. 3 (1991); Neuwirth et al., *Southeast Asian Refugee Study*.
- 44 Aliweiwi and Laforest, "Citizenship, Immigration and the Conservative Agenda"; George, "A Needs-Based Model for Settlement Service Delivery"; Omidvar and Richmond, *Immigrant Settlement and Social Inclusion in Canada*.
- 45 Aliweiwi and Laforest, "Citizenship, Immigration and the Conservative Agenda"; Omidvar and Richmond, *Immigrant Settlement and Social Inclusion in Canada*.
- 46 George, "A Needs-Based Model for Settlement Service Delivery"; Shields, Drolet, and Valenzuela, "Immigrant Settlement and

Integration Services”; Vineberg, *Responding to Immigrants’ Settlement Needs*.

- 47 Shields, Drolet, and Valenzuela, “Immigrant Settlement and Integration Services.”
- 48 Aliweiwi and Laforest, “Citizenship, Immigration and the Conservative Agenda”; Omidvar and Richmond, *Immigrant Settlement and Social Inclusion in Canada*; Shields, Drolet, and Valenzuela, “Immigrant Settlement and Integration Services”; Vineberg, *Responding to Immigrants’ Settlement Needs*.
- 49 Morton Beiser and Ilene Hyman, “Southeast Asian Refugees in Canada,” in *Ethnicity, Immigration, and Psychopathology*, ed. Ihsan Al-Issa and Michel Tousignant (London: Plenum Press, 1997); Chan, “Unemployment, Social Support and Coping”; Dorais, “Refugee Adaptation and Community Structure.”
- 50 Dorais, “Refugee Adaptation and Community Structure”; Navjot K. Lamba and Harvey Krahn, “Social Capital and Refugee Resettlement: The Social Networks of Refugees in Canada,” *Journal of International Migration and Integration* 4, no. 3 (2003).
- 51 Copeland, “The Southeast-Asian Community in Winnipeg”; Dorais, “Refugee Adaptation and Community Structure.”
- 52 Indra, “Bureaucratic Constraints, Middlemen and Community Organization”; Vineberg, *Responding to Immigrants’ Settlement Needs*.
- 53 Chan, “Unemployment, Social Support and Coping”; Kwok B. Chan, “The Chinese from Indochina in Montreal: A Study in Ethnic Voluntary Associations, Community Organization and Ethnic Boundaries,” in *Ten Years Later*, ed. Dorais, Chan, and Indra; Penny Van Esterik and John Van Esterik, “Indochinese Refugees in Toronto,” in *Ten Years Later*, ed. Dorais, Chan, and Indra.
- 54 Dorais, “Refugee Adaptation and Community Structure”; Indra, “Bureaucratic Constraints, Middlemen and Community Organization: Aspects of the Political Incorporation of Southeast Asians in Canada.”
- 55 Dorais, “Refugee Adaptation and Community Structure: The Indochinese in Quebec City, Canada.”; Indra, “Bureaucratic Constraints, Middlemen and Community Organization”; Stobbe,

- “Cross-Cultural Experiences of Laotian Refugees and Mennonite Sponsors.”
- 56 Chan, “The Chinese from Indochina in Montreal”; Indra, “Bureaucratic Constraints, Middlemen and Community Organization.”
- 57 Copeland, “The Southeast-Asian Community in Winnipeg”; Indra, “Bureaucratic Constraints, Middlemen and Community Organization”; Yuen-Fong Woon, Helen Wong, and Donna Woo, “Loose Sand: The Ethnic Vietnamese and Sino-Vietnamese Community in Greater Victoria (1980–1985),” in *Ten Years Later*, ed. Dorais, Chan, and Indra.
- 58 Omidvar and Richmond, *Immigrant Settlement and Social Inclusion in Canada*.
- 59 David Tilson, “Best Practices in Settlement Services: Report of the Standing Committee on Citizenship and Immigration” (Ottawa: House of Commons Canada, 2010), 5.
- 60 Ibid.
- 61 IRCC, “Supplementary Information 2019–2021.” This is not to say that these larger organizations cannot provide culturally appropriate services for refugees in their own languages; indeed, many of these organizations employ immigrants and former refugees as settlement counsellors and interpreters.
- 62 Indra, “Bureaucratic Constraints, Middlemen and Community Organization,” 167–68.
- 63 Ibid.
- 64 Stobbe, “Cross-Cultural Experiences of Laotian Refugees and Mennonite Sponsors.”
- 65 Indra, “Bureaucratic Constraints, Middlemen and Community Organization”; Miranda Pinto and Lydia Sawicki, “The Ideological Conflict between Mainstream and Ethnospecific Agencies,” *Refuge: Canada’s Journal on Refugees* 15, no. 6 (1997); Shields, Drolet, and Valenzuela, “Immigrant Settlement and Integration Services.”
- 66 Dorais, “Refugee Adaptation and Community Structure”; Indra, “Bureaucratic Constraints, Middlemen and Community

Organization”; Penny Van Esterik, *Taking Refuge: Lao Buddhists in North America* (Tempe: ASU Center for Asian Research, 2003).

- 67 Indra, “Bureaucratic Constraints, Middlemen and Community Organization.”
- 68 Indra, “Bureaucratic Constraints, Middlemen and Community Organization”; Shields, Drolet, and Valenzuela, “Immigrant Settlement and Integration Services.”
- 69 Shields, Drolet, and Valenzuela, “Immigrant Settlement and Integration Services.”
- 70 George, “A Needs-Based Model for Settlement Service Delivery”; Shields, Drolet, and Valenzuela, “Immigrant Settlement and Integration Services.”
- 71 Dorais, *The Cambodians, Laotians and Vietnamese*. For studies on the settlement experiences of ethnically Chinese refugees from Vietnam, see Chan, “The Chinese from Indochina”; Indra, “Bureaucratic Constraints, Middlemen and Community Organization”; and Woon, Wong, and Woo, “Loose Sand: The Ethnic Vietnamese.”
- 72 Dorais, “Refugee Adaptation and Community Structure”; Doreen M. Indra, “Self-Concept and Resettlement: Vietnamese and Sino-Vietnamese in a Small Prairie City,” in *Ten Years Later*, ed. Dorais, Chan, and Indra; Woon, Wong, and Woo, “Loose Sand: The Ethnic Vietnamese.”
- 73 Dorais, *The Cambodians, Laotians and Vietnamese*.
- 74 George, “A Needs-Based Model for Settlement Service Delivery”; Indra, “Bureaucratic Constraints, Middlemen and Community Organization.”
- 75 Van Esterik and Van Esterik, “Indochinese Refugees in Toronto.”
- 76 Employment and Immigration Canada, “Indochinese Refugees: The Canadian Response.”
- 77 Ibid.
- 78 Statistics Canada, “Toronto [Population Centre], Ontario and Vancouver [Population Centre], British Columbia (Table). Census Profile. 2016 Census (No. 98-316-X2016001)” (Ottawa: Statistics

Canada, 2017). These numbers derived from census data do not account for the population of ethnically Chinese persons from these three countries.

- 79 Van Esterik and Van Esterik, “Indochinese Refugees in Toronto”; Vietnamese Association in Toronto (VAT), “Vat Annual Report 2018–2019” (Toronto: Vietnamese Association in Toronto, 2019).
- 80 Van Esterik and Van Esterik, “Indochinese Refugees in Toronto.” To give a sense of the array of Vietnamese cultural organizations and groups that currently exist in Toronto, the 2018–19 annual report of VAT lists the following groups that the association has collaborated with over the past year: the Vietnamese Toronto Marching Band; Former Thu Duc Cadet Officer Association; Vietnamese Cultural Society; Elderly Vietnamese Association of Mississauga; Elderly Vietnamese Association of Toronto; Vietnamese Association of Kitchener-Waterloo; GTA Photographers Association; VWAT Family Services; Quang Nam Da Nang Fraternity Association; Quang Ngai Fraternity Association; Vietnamese Culture and Science Association—Toronto Branch, Vietnamese Medical Association of Ontario; United Vietnamese Student Association of Ontario; Vietnamese Community Centre of Mississauga; Brampton Vietnamese Language School; Kitchener Vietnamese Language School; Mississauga Vietnamese Language School; Toronto Vietnamese Language School; as well as several media organizations catering to the Vietnamese community in Canada.
- 81 VAT, “VAT Annual Report 2018–2019.”
- 82 *Ibid.*, 1.
- 83 VAT, “VAT Annual Report 2018–2019.”
- 84 Parliament of Canada, “Senate of Canada. Biography: Senator Thanh Hai Ngo” (Ottawa: Parliament of Canada, 2020).
- 85 VWAT Family Services, “VWAT Family Services Annual Report 2018–2019” (Toronto: VWAT Family Services, 2019).
- 86 *Ibid.*, 7
- 87 Indra, “Bureaucratic Constraints, Middlemen and Community Organization.”

- 88 Van Esterik and Van Esterik, "Indochinese Refugees in Toronto."
- 89 Statistics Canada, "Toronto [Population Centre], Ontario and Vancouver [Population Centre], British Columbia (Table)."
- 90 Janet McLellan, *Many Petals of the Lotus: Five Asian Buddhist Communities in Toronto* (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1999).
- 91 Van Esterik and Van Esterik, "Indochinese Refugees in Toronto"; McLellan, *Many Petals of the Lotus*.
- 92 Stobbe, "Cross-Cultural Experiences of Laotian Refugees and Mennonite Sponsors."
- 93 Van Esterik, *Taking Refuge*.
- 94 McLellan, *Many Petals of the Lotus*; Stobbe, "Cross-Cultural Experiences of Laotian Refugees and Mennonite Sponsors"; Van Esterik, *Taking Refuge*.
- 95 Copeland, "The Southeast-Asian Community in Winnipeg"; Employment and Immigration Canada, "Indochinese Refugees: The Canadian Response."
- 96 Larry Kehler, "Making Room for 'Strangers,'" in Mennonite Central Committee, ed. *Mennonite Central Committee* (Winnipeg: Mennonite Central Committee Canada, 1980).
- 97 Statistics Canada, "Winnipeg [Census Metropolitan Area], Manitoba and Manitoba [Province] (Table). Census Profile. (No. 98-316-X2016001)" (Ottawa: Statistics Canada, 2017).
- 98 Copeland, "The Southeast-Asian Community in Winnipeg." While some of these organizations may continue to informally exist, they do not have a web-based presence, indicating that they are not actively involved in formal settlement service provision for newcomers from this region.
- 99 Immigrant and Refugee Community Organization of Manitoba (IRCOM), "Sharing Settlement and Integration Practices That Work: IRCOM House" (Winnipeg: Immigrant and Refugee Community Organization of Manitoba, 2019).
- 100 Copeland, "The Southeast-Asian Community in Winnipeg."
- 101 Jill Bucklaschuk, "The IRCOM Model: Housing and Wrap-Around

- Supports for Newcomers to Winnipeg” (Winnipeg: The Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives, 2016).
- 102 Bucklaschuk, “The IRCOM Model”; IRCOM, “Sharing Settlement and Integration Practices That Work.”
- 103 Employment and Immigration Canada, “Indochinese Refugees: The Canadian Response.”
- 104 Beiser, *Strangers at the Gate*.
- 105 Statistics Canada, “Toronto [Population Centre], Ontario and Vancouver [Population Centre], British Columbia (Table).”
- 106 Woon, Wong, and Woo, “Loose Sand: The Ethnic Vietnamese.”
- 107 Ibid. The following Vietnamese community groups gathered at the BC Legislature to commemorate the 2018 Journey to Freedom Day: the Vietnamese-Canadian Community in Greater Vancouver; Little Saigon Vancouver Foundation; the Free Vietnamese Association in British Columbia; the Vietnamese Canadian Seniors Society of Greater Vancouver; United Nations Army Volunteer Reserve Brigade; the Mekong Delta Fellowship Society; the Vietnamese Cultural Heritage Association; the Vietnamese Women's Society in Greater Vancouver; the Vietnamese Veterans Association in British Columbia; the Vietnamese Air Force Association; the Vietnamese Heritage Association; Lac Viet Radio; Thoi Bao; VietBC Radio; and the Yellow National Vietnam Flag Conservation Association (source: British Columbia Legislative Assembly Hansard, <https://www.leg.bc.ca/content/Hansard/41st3rd/20180425pm-House-Blues.htm>). For more information on youth-led events, see the website of the Vietnamese-Canadian youth group V3, at <http://v3group.ca/>.
- 108 James Placzek and Ian G. Baird, “Thai and Lao Buddhism,” in *Asian Religions in British Columbia*, ed. Larry DeVries, Don Baker, and Dan Overmyer, Asian Religions and Society Series (Vancouver: University of British Columbia Press, 2010).
- 109 Ibid.
- 110 Ibid., 111, 21.
- 111 Placzek and Baird, “Thai and Lao Buddhism.”

- 112 George, "A Needs-Based Model for Settlement Service Delivery."
- 113 Shields, Drolet, and Valenzuela, "Immigrant Settlement and Integration Services."
- 114 George, "A Needs-Based Model for Settlement Service Delivery"; Indra, "Self-Concept and Resettlement: Vietnamese and Sino-Vietnamese"; Pinto and Sawicki, "The Ideological Conflict"; Shields, Drolet, and Valenzuela, "Immigrant Settlement and Integration Services"; Tilson, "Best Practices in Settlement Services."
- 115 Vineberg, *Responding to Immigrants' Settlement Needs*; George, "A Needs-Based Model for Settlement Service Delivery"; Omidvar and Richmond, *Immigrant Settlement and Social Inclusion*; Shields, Drolet, and Valenzuela, "Immigrant Settlement and Integration Services."
- 116 Shields, Drolet, and Valenzuela, "Immigrant Settlement and Integration Services."